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Post ’71 Uranium Workers Committee

We are a voluntary committee dedicated to uranium miners, millers, and transporters who worked after 1971 and are suffering adverse effects of uranium exposure.

The Post ’71 workers survey was created and administered by the very people who worked in uranium mining and milling — the workers and their family members.
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• Post ’71 Volunteers: Cipriano & Liz Lucero, Linda Evers & Kacee Bahl, Gilbert & Rose Sparkman, Abe Medina, Israel Martinez
• Southwest Research and Information Center: Chris Shuey & Staff
Goals of Post ’71 Uranium Workers Survey

To Assess the need for:

• Further research on Post ’71 workers uranium-related health conditions
• Compensation for uranium-related health conditions of Post ’71 workers
• Expansion of RECA compensable diseases
The Post ’71 survey was for any uranium worker employed in New Mexico after 1971.

Between 1971-1982, New Mexico’s uranium workers were about one third to one half of all uranium workers in the United States.

Voluntary Post 1971 Survey/Questionnaire For: Uranium Miners, Millers, Transporters

YOUR INPUT IS IMPORTANT! PLEASE PRINT, IN A LEDIGIBLE MANNER TO ENSURE YOUR INFORMATION IS COUNTED!

Miner, Miller, Transporter Name: ____________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Middle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing Address</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Birth</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Name of Uranium Company/Corporation and/or Associated Contractor (UC or AC): (Please check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UC or AC</th>
<th>Date(s) Employed</th>
<th>Your Age at Time of Employment</th>
<th>Estimated Height/Weight at time of Employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United Nuclear/Homestake NS 53 Mill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Nuclear/Homestake Churchrock Mill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Nuclear/Homestake Churchrock Mine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Nuclear/Homestake Ambrosia Lake Mine Section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr McGee (Quivira) Mill Section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr McGee (Quivira Mine Section)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr McGee (Quivera Mine Haysback Mine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf (Chevron) Mine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaconda (ARCO) Old 66 Mill Paguate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaconda (ARCO) Open Pit Mine Paguate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaconda (ARCO P10 Mine Paguate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soho Western L Bar Mill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soho Western L Bar Mine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranchers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Lee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Second Supplemental Survey

The first 2007 survey did not have clear directions about medical conditions.

About half of the workers responding did not provide health information.

Post ’71 mailed out a second or supplemental survey in 2009 to all who took the first survey.
Post ’71 Survey Respondents

1302 workers responded to the surveys as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provided medical information in first survey but didn’t return second survey</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provided new or updated medical information on second survey</td>
<td>695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong> who provided medical information on self and/or family between both surveys.</td>
<td>1046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not provide medical information on either survey</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were not eligible because they didn’t work in NM</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post '71 Workers

Who are the survey participants?
Workers reporting health conditions for self and/or family: 
904 male and 142 female.
Workers reporting health conditions for self and/or family: 597 Hispanic, 224 White, 217 Native American, and 8 other ethnicities.
Native American workers reporting health conditions for self and/or family: 123 Navajo, 86 Pueblo, and 7 Other Native American.
Workers: Age

Age of Workers When First Employed

Workers reporting health conditions for self and/or family: 686 began work at 24 or younger, 274 began between 25 and 39, 58 began at 39 or older.
Workers: Current Median Age

Is this an elderly population?
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Uranium Workforce: 1971-1992

Post 71 Survey Participants are representative of the NM Uranium Workforce

Yellow line shows number of survey participants who worked each year. Blue line shows total number of NM uranium workers each year.
Of all workers: 666 had only one occupation (11 are ore transporters, 53 are laborers, 55 are millers, 185 are tech support, 362 are miners), and 566 had multiple occupations.
Of 1208 workers who provided information on years worked:

- 317 worked 1-3 years,
- 613 worked 4-9 years,
- 228 worked 10-16 years,
- 39 worked 17-25 years,
- 11 worked 26 or more years.
Workers: Medical Treatment

Are you receiving medical treatment?

For **695** workers who provided supplemental survey data:
286 currently being treated, 128 treated in the past, 98 can’t afford treatment.
Workers: Access to Care

Ethnicity of workers who cannot afford treatment

Of 537 Hispanics, 195 Whites, and 189 Native Americans reporting medical conditions: 61 Hispanics, 20 Whites, and 17 Native Americans can’t afford treatment.
Workers: Living or Deceased

- Living: 94%
- Deceased: 6%

1167 living workers & 69 deceased workers
Health

Medical Conditions of Post ‘71 Survey Participants
Of 635 survey participants: 0 had excellent health, 12 very good, 116 good, 292 fair, and 215 poor.

* United States population data: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2005-06
Health: Worker Medical Conditions

- Heart: 49%
- Lungs: 47%
- Digestive System: 41%
- Skin: 35%
- Immune Function: 30%
- Other: 19%
- Other: 18%
Health: Pre & Post ’71 Workers Exposure

Pre-1971 survey respondents worked an average of 11.1 years

Post ’71 survey respondents worked an average of 6.6 years

Averages are calculated using data for the 929 workers reporting conditions. Average years worked for all survey participants is 6.9 years.
Health: Comparing Pre & Post ’71 Workers

Why the difference? Pre ‘71 worked almost twice as long as Post ‘71

For 69 Pre ‘71 workers and 853 Post ‘71 workers who provided supplemental survey data.
Uranium-Related Diseases Recognized by US Federal Agencies. (FED)
Introduction

Four federal agencies have identified medical conditions related to working with uranium.

Combined, these four agencies recognize 25 uranium-related conditions.

RECA only compensates 8 of these conditions.
Medical Conditions Recognized by RECA

**Respiratory Conditions**
- Lung Cancer
- Pulmonary Fibrosis
- Silicosis
- Cor Pulmonale
- Pneumoconiosis

**Kidney Conditions**
- Renal Cancer
- Nephritis
- Kidney Tubal
Medical Conditions Recognized by OSHA & CDC

Blood Tissue Conditions
- Leukemia
- Lymphoma
- Multiple Myeloma

Skin Conditions
- Chronic Skin Blisters
- Hyperpigmentation

Respiratory Conditions
- Tuberculosis
Medical Conditions Recognized by EPA

**Respiratory Conditions**
- Asthma
- Chronic Bronchitis
- Chronic Hacking Cough
- COPD
- Emphysema
- Shortness of Breath

**Blood Tissue Conditions**
- Leukopenia

**Tumors**
- Bone
- Head
- Nasal Passages
Percent of Post ’71 Survey Participants with Uranium-Related Medical Conditions

* All FED = Uranium-Related Conditions Recognized by Federal Agencies
Number of FED Medical Conditions Reported by Workers

For 929 workers reporting medical conditions.
FED Conditions Reported by Native American Workers

Comparison of federally recognized conditions for Native American (light or non-smokers) and Non-Native workers

Any federally recognized condition

- Non-Native American
- Pueblo
- Navajo
Surface vs. Underground Workplace Comparisons

Native & Non-Native Workers with Medical Conditions

Surface
- Non-Native American: 42%
- Pueblo: 67%
- Navajo: 23%

Underground
- Non-Native American: 66%
- Pueblo: 33%
- Navajo: 71%
Cancer

“The air quality wasn’t very good. …Never sent me to a doctor when I was over-exposed… Said fresh air would clean me out.

Sounds foolish now when all us miners are getting lung and other cancers.”

--Post ’71 Worker
Cancer Reported by Workers

- Reporting Cancer: 13%
- Not Reporting Cancer: 87%
51 deceased workers who reported cause of death: 17 died from cancer.
Cancer: Prevalence by Ethnicity

187 Whites, 510 Hispanics and 173 Native Americans with health conditions: 40 Whites, 49 Hispanics, 10 Native Americans have cancer.
Reproductive Disorders
Workers and Spouses

“I didn’t know that I was harming my family’s lives by coming home in dirty unsafe clothes and even washing our clothes together.

The company’s mistakes (have and) will cause family tragedy.”

--Post ’71 Worker
Reproductive: Laundering Contaminated Work Clothes

Were you instructed NOT to launder your work clothes at home?

- **Told Not to Launder**: 4%
- **NOT Told**: 96%

For **1190 workers** who responded to this question.
Reproductive: Laundering

For 1227 workers who responded to this question.
For 796 male workers reporting medical conditions: 43 sterile, 25 prostate cancer. 
For 43 male spouses with medical conditions: 6 sterile, 3 prostate cancer.
For 132 female workers with health conditions: 40 with reproductive disorders. For 421 spouses with health conditions: 169 with reproductive disorders.
For 133 female workers and 423 female spouses who provided supplemental survey data.
Reproductive: Female Disorders

40% of spouses have at least one miscarriage, still birth, or child with a birth defect.

Of these women, 15% of spouses have more than one disorder.

“In 1980 I lost a child, it was born, then died... In 1984 the same thing. I delivered the baby and that same day he baby passed away in my arms. With both, I was 5 months pregnant and worked underground. They knew I was pregnant.”

-Post ’71 Female Worker
Symptoms

Many uranium-related medical conditions take 20-30 years to emerge.

Symptoms may indicate future conditions.
Symptoms: All Workers

For 929 workers who provided supplemental survey data.
Symptoms: Respiratory

Workers with Respiratory Symptoms but with NO Respiratory Illnesses

For 525 workers with medical conditions but no diagnosed respiratory illness:
225 shortness of breath, 70 wheezing, 59 phlegm, 49 persistent cough.
Summary: Medical Conditions

72% of survey participants have uranium-related medical conditions.
Summary: Deaths Since First Survey

50 workers were deceased in the first survey (2007)

21 additional workers had died in the second survey (2009)

10 Post ’71 workers have died each year since the first survey was released
Workplace Safety

“No information was given to me on exposure to dangerous chemicals or health safety. I am afraid of all the dangerous risks I took when I worked for a uranium mine.

I feel that my life and my family’s lives are cut short due to (the mines) not practicing safety”

--Post ’71 Worker
Claims of Industry Safety

“Present health and safety standards have definitely improved in the mines. They have better ventilation systems, respirators and face masks and efficient control of the interior of the mines…” (1979)

--Dr. Leon Gottlieb, Navajo Health Authority

“(In the very early years of uranium mining)…the combination of radon gas, silica dust and smoking led to abnormally high incidences of lung cancers and related ailments.

All of these factors have been eliminated or are strictly regulated. All underground mines have extensive ventilation systems…to supply fresh air into the mines.”

--Uranium Producers of America
Laws and Regulations to Safeguard Uranium Workers

Uranium Workers are protected by:

- Mine Safety & Health Agency (MSHA) Training Requirements
- MSHA Miner’s Rights Guide (Act of 1977)
- New Mexico occupational safety laws for mining (Adopted from Federal Mining Laws in 1978)
(13) Health and safety aspects of the tasks to which the new miner will be trained. The course shall include instruction in the health and safety aspects of the tasks to be assigned, the mandatory health and safety standards pertinent to such tasks, information about the physical and health hazards of chemicals in the miner's work area, the protective measures a miner can take against these hazards, and the contents of the mine's HazCom program.
LAWS: New Mexico State Statute 69-35-4
“Every new miner should be instructed in safety practices.”

How often were safety meetings conducted?

- **Never**: 18%
- **Orientation Only**: 18%
- **Varied by employer**: 3%
- **Regularly**: 32%
- **Seldom**: 30%

141 workers responded to this question.
Safety Measures

Were safety measures (example: meetings, literature, and/or equipment) adequate?

- Adequate: 20%
- NOT Adequate: 79%
- Varied by Employer: 1%

1157 workers responded to this question.
“We never had formal safety meetings describing all the potential dangers we were being exposed to: Inhalation dangers, daily exposure to hi-grade uranium, inadequate ventilation, contaminated water and dangerous fumes.”

--Post ’71 Worker
A worker who handles uranium or an insoluble uranium compound should thoroughly wash hands, forearms, and face with soap and water before eating, using tobacco products, or using toilet facilities.
Safety: Wash Basins

Were you provided wash basins to use before eating/drinking?

1206 workers responded to this question.
Safety: On-Site Showers

Were you required to shower before leaving work to avoid bringing contamination home?

Varied by Employer
1%

Required
17%

NOT Required
82%

1217 workers responded to this question.
The use of personal respiratory protection against radon daughters must be limited to temporary situations where (proper ventilation has not occurred).

For (high) exposures proper filter-type respirators are to be available.

Where (excessive) concentrations of radon daughters (exist) air respirators or other devices for protection against radon gas as well as radon daughters are required.
Safety: Equipment

Was inspected and approved safety equipment provided on a regular basis?

- Provided: 43%
- NOT Provided: 56%
- Varied by Employer: 1%

1067 workers who responded to this question.
The most effective control measure for radon gas and radon daughters is.... ventilation.

By quickly removing radon gas from work areas by ventilation, there will be less generation of radon daughters in the workplace.

Primary air used for such ventilation should be as contaminant-free as possible of radon daughters.
LAWS: New Mexico State Statute 69-33-4
“Mines should be ventilated to provide air that meets the needs of humans and animals.”

Was adequate ventilation provided in your work area?

- Sometimes: 49%
- No: 36%
- Yes: 14%
- Varied by employer: 1%

1156 workers responded to this question.
Safety: Ventilation

How far were fans located from your work area?

- 74%: 20 feet or more
- 15%: 10-20 feet
- 6%: 1-10 feet
- 5%: Varied by employer

901 workers responded to this question.
LAWS: New Mexico Rule 6

“Ventilation should be arranged to prevent diesel smoke from traveling through working areas.”

Were you exposed to diesel fumes while working?

- Yes: 86%
- No: 14%

1296 workers responded to this question.
LAWS: NM Adopted Federal Rule No. 71-2 (57.5-40)
“Individual exposure records should be kept for employees that enter areas of 0.3 WL or more.”

How often were you provided with a radon monitor?

- Never: 54%
- Once or more: 45%
- Varied by employer: 1%

1110 workers responded to this question.
Safety: Radon Monitors

Did your employer explain why there was a need for radon monitors (gamma badges)?

- Explained: 36%
- NOT explained: 63%
- Varied by employer: 1%

1,162 workers responded to this question.
Safety: Radon Monitors

Were radon monitors required by your employer?

Varied by Employer
- 2%

Required
- 31%

NOT Required
- 67%

1168 workers responded to this question.
Safety: Radon Monitors

How often were radon monitors inspected?

- **Never**: 53%
- **Regularly**: 26%
- **Annually**: 9%
- **Other/Varied by Employer**: 12%

903 workers responded to this question.
LAWS: NM Adopted Federal Rule No. 76-1 (57.5-38) “No employee should receive more than 4 WLM per year.”

Were you ever over exposed to radon during your employment?

- Yes: 56.7%
- No: 18.6%
- Don’t know: 24.7%

1152 workers responded to this question.
Safety: Over-Exposure Warnings

Were you advised that your over exposure might affect your household?

- Were Advised: 3%
- Were NOT Advised: 97%

1036 workers responded to this question.
Enforcement of Workplace Safety Laws and Regulations: Worker Quotes

“We were told two to three weeks prior to the (inspectors) coming: We were instructed to clean everything”

--Post '71 Worker

“I worked under the mine safety manager. (Neither he) nor the state inspector rarely left the haulage. They never ventured into the more difficult workplace”

--Post '71 Worker
Recommendations

What We Learned From Survey
Lessons Learned from Survey

The Federal Government is responsible for uranium workers’ poor health

RECA compensation should be expanded to federally recognized uranium-related medical conditions

Researching the full impact of uranium on the health of workers and their families will take a minimum of 45 million dollars
Reasons for Post ’71 Worker Compensation

High exposure rates to radon daughters
- 4 WLM during the 1970s and 1980s is and was then considered by many scientists as too high an exposure rate.

Lax enforcement of regulations
- Workers provided many examples of collusion between inspectors and mine supervisors as well as supervisors falsifying mine conditions before an inspection.

Mine Safety Laws enacted in the late 1970’s were too late for most Post ’71 workers
- The majority of Post ’71 workers were employed before the late 1970’s

US Mine Safety Laws are Substandard to Canada and Australia
Reasons for Expanding Coverage

RECA compensable illnesses represent only a fraction of those recognized by other federal agencies.

OSHA and the EPA recognize 17 more conditions related to uranium exposure.

Congress should expand the RECA list of compensable conditions to include those recognized by OSHA, CDC, and EPA.
Reasons for More Health Studies

What is the impact of uranium and other contaminants on workers, families, and future generations?

What is the relationship between uranium and high incidence of arthritis and degenerative joint disease or cardiovascular and gastrointestinal illnesses found among uranium workers?

Congress should authorize a minimum of 45 million dollars to research the full impacts of uranium and related contaminants of workers and families.
“Our Work Speaks for Itself
We should not have to qualify for compensation”

“Men and women who worked in the uranium industry, have untiringly and with much vigor, supplied to the world its needs, wants, and power. This supply has cost the health and death of many persons. The loss of innocent men, women, and children has gone unappreciated.

Billions of dollars have been enjoyed by our national government and its magnates. While these our leaders have attained to high posh lifestyles, due to engineering unmatched power, we, grateful for earning a living, are left to fend for ourselves.

The government has taken our lands, women and children: freedom and now our health. Is it wrong to be properly compensated as bonafide American Citizens?”

--Post ’71 worker